ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE ARCHIVES

ISSN: 2583-5092 Volume II Issue 1, 2023



Received: 06-08-2022

Accepted: 29-12-2022

Published: 03-01-2023

OPEN ACCESS

SHORT COMMUNICATION

Daphnia magna as a model animal for assessing microplastic toxicity

Sanjogdeep Kaur, Heena Gupta and Zorawar Singh

Department of Zoology, Khalsa College Amritsar, Punjab, India 143001 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to ZS (email: zorawarsinghs@rediffmail.com)

Abstract

Microplastics, originating directly from household and industrial products or from large plastic degradation, are currently of extreme global concern. The coronavirus pandemic also increased the use of microplastics because all the protective equipment like face masks, full face shields and gloves are made up of different plastics such as polyurethane, PET, polyester and polypropylene. The face masks generally contain a lot of polypropylene in it which produces toxicity in ocean beds and great lakes during the weathering process. Actually, weathering processes lead to the fragmentation of plastics into microplastics by affecting their physicochemical properties. Microplastics are pervasive in the environment due to the delayed disposal of plastic wastes, a lack of detection tools and particular removal procedures, and a gradual disposal rate. Due to their small size (ranging from 1 µm to 5 mm) microplastics can easily be ingested. Consequently, living organisms living in the water column as well as those found in benthic zones are threatened by the presence of microplastics. In this paper, we have discussed the effects of microplastics on Daphnia magna. The filter feeder D. magna ingest microplastics and are not able to differentiate between particles of different nature. Microplastics decreased the survival rates, body growth, reproduction and immune responses in Daphnia. Sinking microplastics also decreased the swimming velocity of Daphnia during cruising and vertical swimming trajectories. In conclusion, these findings highlight the health risks of contamination of microplastics in aquatic environments and present Daphnia as a good model animal for research in the field of microplastic toxicity.

Keywords: Daphnia magna; Microplastics; Toxicity; Pollution; hazard

Introduction

The use of plastic is increasing day by day. Slow decomposition of plastic leads to increased microplastic concentrations in the environment (Singh, 2022). The plastics entering the coastal environment may remain for millions of years after they get busted due to the mechanical and photochemical processes resulting in the formation of microplastics. Microplastic particles are usually divided by their origin into primary and secondary microplastics. The primary microplastics are generated as such and appear in marine environments either by chance or with waste waters (like residuals of used cosmetics, scrubbers, etc.). The secondary microplastics come from damage of larger objects which conclude in the marine environment. The large amount of macroplastics which enter the environment is generally the secondary microplastics (Efimova et al., 2018). Because plastic waste initially degrades into meso particles and macroparticles, it is a major source of secondary microplastics found in the ocean and soil. Ultraviolet (UV) radiation from the sun and various physical forces results in the fragmentation of meso and macro plastic debris including Polystyrene coffee cup lids, disposable plates and PS foams into microplastics. A study irradiated plastic debris with simulated UV light to determine the degradation mechanism (Hwang et al., 2020). The floating microplastics over the oceans are misinterpreted as food by various marine animals and get ingested. This results in enormous health impacts on aquatic organisms (Sheng et al., 2021). Humans are also exposed to microplastics. The presence of microplastics in products like hand cleansers, foodstuffs and scrubbers in cosmetics, is the source of exposure. Microplastic exposure in different biological systems may cause microplastic toxicity causing various ailments including inflammatory lesions.



The lack of immunity against these synthetic particles leads to an increase in abnormal growth or neoplasia (Prata et al., 2019). Marine species contain a heavy concentration of microplastics in their body and when humans consume seafood, these are likely to cause many harmful effects such as infertility, obesity and even cancer (Chatterjee and Sharma, 2017). This condition also provokes stress, high immune responses, and results in developmental and reproductive toxicity (Blackburn and Green, 2021). In the automotive industry, demand for bakelite increases due to the big ask for lightweight materials to ensure fuel efficiency in vehicles. Due to increased abrasion and mechanical pressure, the bakelite is speedily degraded into microplastic. However, these microplastics have a high environmental concern. On ingestion and absorption, microplastics affect freshwater organisms with mechanical as well as chemical stress because of unreacted monomers, additive leaching and by-products of polymerisation (Klun et al., 2022). The expansion of microplastic entering oceans is black rubber identified as tire debris (Cunningham et al., 2022). Fibres are the most influential microplastic in the freshwater ecosystem. The textiles fragmentation during laundry and successive discharge in the environment as untreated and treated wastewaters add up to the major pathway of fibres. Microplastic fibres do not contain a single compound and are of different shapes and sizes, so ingestion chances of fibres may differ in freshwater organisms. Spherical particles have different ingestion rates as compared to the longer fibrous material. The tire particles arise from tire friction on roadways and flow into the environment from different sources such as wastewater, and runoff into soils and ocean beds. An estimated range from 0.23 to 1.9 kg/yr of tire particles flow in (China, Japan, India, Sweden, Norway and Denmark) (Schell et al., 2022). Tire particles are composed of vulcanisation agents, oils, synthetic rubbers (styrene), filling agents and other additives. floating plastics present on the surface of oceans are buoyant plastic, while microplastic with high-density sinks beneath the floor of oceans. A recent report suggests that most of the plastic comes from municipal waste. The granules, fibres and small plastic pieces are well-known ocean plastic debris forms. This involves synthetic particles like in cosmetics microbeads used, in drug delivery, in medicines and other applications. Biodegradable plastic produces much of the microplastic in agricultural fields and mainly affects the fertility of soils (Bhatt et al., 2021).

Sr. No.	Author	Year	Animal model	Inference
1.	Grassl et al.	2021	Daphnia	Elevated temperatures lead to increased
			magna	ingestion of microplastics.
2.	Aljaibachi and	2018	Daphnia	Uptake of microplastics decreased in presence
	Callaghan		magna	of algae.
3.	Scherer et al.	2017	Daphnia	Rate of microplastic uptake depends upon
			magna	biotic and abiotic factors.
4.	Guilhermino et al.	2021	Daphnia	At increased water temperature, the total
			magna	number of broods produced decreases.
5.	Laforsch et al.	2021	Daphnia	Shape, size, type and age of particles might
			magna	influence the toxicity.
6.	Arnott et al.	2022	Daphnia	Transgenerational impacts of microplastics on
			magna	reproduction.
7.	Miloloža et al.	2021	Daphnia	Small microplastic particles caused higher
			magna	growth inhibition.
8.	Parolini et al.	2022	Daphnia	Long term exposure of microplastics effects at
			magna	molecular and biochemical level.
9.	Wagner et al.	2021	Daphnia	wastewater-incubated microplastics resulted
			magna	in a lower mortality than pristine microplastics.
10.	Schell et al.	2022	Daphnia	D. magna did not ingest fibres but ingest tire
			magna	particles.
11.	Rozman et al.	2022	Daphnia	Bakelite microplastics had a low impact on
			magna	Daphnia.
12.	Xiao et al.	2022	Daphnia	Polyethylene microplastics affect trophic
			magna	cascade strength and reduced stability.
13.	Barcelona et al.	2021	Daphnia	Lethal and sub-lethal effects like reduced body
			magna	growth rate, swimming velocity and survival.

 Table 1. Studies revealing toxic effects of microplastics

Effects of microplastics on Daphnia magna

Contamination with microplastic in freshwater habitats is threatening the aquatic community particularly to suspension feeders (filter feeders) and their pollution have serious ecological consequences (Grassl et al., 2021). Cladoceran D. *magna* is a keystone species in numerous freshwater habitats all over the world. *Daphnia* can eat particles between 1 to 70 μ m in size and typically eat algae. They can also eat bacteria (Aljaibachi and Callaghan, 2018). It occupies an important position in aquatic food webs as it is the highly effective suspension feeder which regulates algal and bacterial growth (Scherer et al., 2017). Preferential habitats for this species are shallow water ecosystems due to reduced pressure of predation but are vulnerable to effects of microplastic pollution and climate changes (Guilhermino et al., 2021).

The microplastic poses deleterious effects on Daphnia at morphological, anatomical and molecular level. Microplastic releases harmful substances into the digestive tract of the animal and poses major threats (Laforsch et al., 2021). Together with the microplastics, main driving pressures such as increase of water temperature and light intensity act on the biota. Some microplastics are excreted but others are internalized which cause toxic effects like mortality, reduced population fitness, reduced daphnid survival and decreased reproduction over generations (Guilhermino et al., 2021); increased inflammation, altered behaviour, altered fat and energy metabolism, changes in the microbiome and change in the body length, width and tail spine length in offsprings (Arnott et al., 2022); impaired filtering activity and compromising gut activity (Miloloža et al., 2021); abnormal embryonic development, obstruction of digestive tract and the onset of oxidative stress (Parolini et al., 2022). Microplastic ingestion was found to delay intestinal function (Grassl et al., 2021). After reduced food supply and exposure to microplastic, body lengths of adults are affected (Wagner et al., 2021). Fibres are not ingested in Daphnia whereas ingestion of tire particles increased with increasing exposure concentrations. The prolonged exposure period and increase in body size of the adults allowed them to consume greater sizes of particles, which led to the increased intake (Schell et al., 2022).

Reduced fitness in Daphnia magna

Microplastics in D. magna enter into gills, gut, and attach to the surface of the body including appendages. It reduced the efficiency of other gill functions and efficiency of respiration in both parental females and juveniles, contributing to a decrease in fitness (Guilhermino et al., 2021). Egestion is also influenced by microplastics like total absence or very low egestion, leading to reduced intake of food and ultimately starving (Miloloža et al., 2021). Toxicity is caused inside the body by several ways, leading to exposure of eggs when entering into the brood chamber, appendices may compromise swimming. Microalgae ingestion was decreased and reduced filtration was seen with microplastics. Less energy was available due to decreased food ingestion. Need of energy allotment to face microplastic induced stress, basic functions maintenance and need of tissue repair was seen to increase (Guilhermino et al., 2021). Sharp edges on irregularly shaped microplastics can harm internal organs, and these build up in the digestive system of Daphnia and ultimately prevent the digestion of fresh food (Rozman et al., 2022). Microplastic induced reproduction toxicity was observed as seen by production of fewer clutch or offsprings and decreased average brood production (Arnott et al., 2022). Polyethylene microplastics reduced the hopping frequency, heart rate, grazing rate and stability (Xiao et al., 2022).

Swimming velocity in Daphnia magna

The swimming velocity of water flea D. *magna* is mainly dependent on its body size. Therefore, environmental factors which control growth also affect swimming velocity (Blust et al., 1998). Differences in swimming behaviours can possibly be caused by smaller body lengths arising from reduced growth rates. Changes of the mean velocity of swimming indicates a significant change in swimming behaviour following an exposure to the toxic substance. Other parameters, like fractal dimension and speed distribution did not change (Noss et al., 2013). In D. *magna* individuals are seen with different swimming patterns based on vertical trajectory, cruising

trajectory and hopping and sinking based on net displacement. Swimming velocity in D. *magna* could be correlated with body length. Bigger *Daphnia* individuals swim faster. Sublethal effects are produced by microplastics; hopping and sinking (successive ascending and descending short pathways followed by individuals) movements are predominantly exhibited by D. *magna* individuals. Vertical velocity (individuals swam in quasi-straight vertical trajectory with overall angle more than 45° with horizontal axis) is slightly lesser than cruising velocity (near straight trajectory in direction below 45° to horizontal axis). To follow a vertical trajectory, energy is required to overcome the pressure gradient excreted by water. So, this movement was sustained only when favourable conditions were available (system without microplastic/with food) (Barcelona et al., 2021).

Conclusion

Microplastic pollution in marine environments has become a serious and global pollution incident. At present, there is a lack of effective treatment methods. *Daphnia magna* has been used as a model animal to assess microplastic toxicity in several studies. For proper functioning, the stability of D. *magna* under natural conditions is important for the freshwater ecosystem, as they are key food sources for predators as well as important grazers of phytoplankton. Microplastics have been seen to affect D. *magna* populations as these harms reproductive health, trigger a series of immune responses, affect brood amounts and growth rates. There were significant adverse impacts of microplastics on both total number of individuals and total biomass of D. *magna* as well as a significant reduction in total amount of adult daphnids. Microplastics caused release of immobile juveniles, reduced size of first brood and increased somatic growth. Consumption of microplastic was not seen to affect locomotory speeds D. *magna* at different biological levels.

References

Aljaibachi R and Callaghan A (2018) Impact of polystyrene microplastics on *Daphnia magna* mortality and reproduction in relation to food availability. PeerJ e4601. DOI: 10.7717/peerj.4601.

Arnott G, Jewett E, Connolly L, et al. (2022) Microplastics and Their Impact on Reproduction-Can we Learn from the *C. elegans* Model. Front Toxicology 4: 748912. DOI: 10.3389/ftox.2022.748912.

Barcelona A, Colomer J, Serra T, et al. (2021) Vertical distribution of microplastics in water bodies causes sublethal effects and changes in Daphnia magna swimming behaviour. Ecotoxicology Environmental Safety 228: 113001. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2021.113001.

Bhatt P, MohanPathak V, RezaBagheri A, et al. (2021) Microplastic contaminants in the aqueous environment, fate, toxicity consequences, and remediation strategies. Environmental Research 200: 111762. DOI: 10.1016/j.envres.2021.111762.

Blackburn K and Green D (2021) The potential effects of microplastics on human health: What is known and what is unknown. Ambio. 51(3): 518-530. DOI: 10.1007/s13280-021-01589-9.

Blust R, Baillieul M and De Wachter B (1998) Effect of salinity on the swimming velocity of the water flea Daphnia magna. Physiol Zool. 6:703-7. DOI: 10.1086/515985.

Chatterjee S and Sharma S (2017) Microplastic pollution, a threat to marine ecosystem and human health: a short review. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 24(27). DOI: 10.1007/s11356-017-9910-8.

Cunningham B, Harper B, Brander S, et al. (2022) Toxicity of micro and nano tire particles and leachate for model freshwater organisms. Journal of Hazardous Materials 429: 128319. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2022.128319.

Chen G, Yang H and Wang J (2021) Microplastics in the Marine Environment: Sources, Fates, Impacts and Microbial Degradation. Toxics 9(2): 41. DOI: 10.3390/toxics9020041.

Efimova I, Bagaeva M, Bagaeva A, et al. (2018) Secondary Microplastics Generation in the Sea Swash Zone With Coarse Bottom Sediments: Laboratory Experiments. Frontiers in Marine Science 5: 313. DOI: 10.3389/fmars.2018.00313.

Hwang J, Jung S, Hong J, et al. (2020) Potential toxicity of polystyrene microplastic particles. Scientific Reports 10:7391. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-020-64464-9.

Grassl N, Koch M, Zeis B, et al. (2021) Microplastic burden in Daphnia is aggravated by elevated temperatures. Zoology 144: 0944-2006. DOI: 10.1016/j.zool.2020.125881.

Guilhermino L, Martins A, Cunha S, et al. (2021) Long-term adverse effects of microplastics on Daphnia magna reproduction and population growth rate at increased water temperature and light intensity: Combined effects of stressors and interactions. Sci Total Environ. 784: 147082. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.14708.

Klun B, Ogrizek M, Kalčíková G, et al. (2022) The first plastic produced, but the latest studied in microplastics research: The assessment of leaching, ecotoxicity and bioadhesion of Bakelite microplastics. Environmental Pollution 307: 119454. DOI: 10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119454.

Laforsch C, Ramsperger, Mondellini, et al. (2021) Microplastics: A Novel Suite of Environmental Contaminants but Present for Decades. Regulatory Toxicology 1-26. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-36206-4_138-1

Miloloža M, Bule M, Cvetnic M, et al. (2021) Ecotoxicological Determination of Microplastic Toxicity on Algae Chlorella sp.: Response Surface Modeling Approach. Water Air and Soil pollution 232(8). DOI: 10.1007/s11270-021-05267-0.

Noss C, Dabrunz A, Rosenfeldt RR, et al. (2013) Three-Dimensional Analysis of the Swimming Behavior of *Daphnia magna* Exposed to Nanosized Titanium Dioxide. PLoS ONE 11: e80960. DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0080960.

Parolini M, Sugni M, Casati L, et al. (2022) Molecular, biochemical and behavioral responses of *Daphnia magna* under long-term exposure to polystyrene nanoplastics. Environment International 164: 107264. DOI: 10.1016/j.envint.2022.107264.

Prata J, Costa J, Lopes I, et al. (2019) Environmental exposure to microplastics: An overview on possible human health effects. Science of The Total Environment 702: 134455. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134455.

Rozman U, klun B, Ogrizek M, et al. (2022) The first plastic produced, but the latest studied in microplastics research: The assessment of leaching, ecotoxicity and bioadhesion of Bakelite microplastics. Environmental Pollution 307: 119454. DOI: 10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119454.

Scherer C, Brennholt N., Reifferscheid G, et al. (2017) Feeding type and development drive the ingestion of microplastics by freshwater invertebrates. *Sci Rep* 7, 17006. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-017-17191-7.

Schreier M, Oehlmann J, Wagner M, et al. (2021) Incubation in Wastewater Reduces the Multigenerational Effects of Microplastics in *Daphnia magna*. Environ Sci Technol. 55(4): 2491-2499. DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.oco7911.

Schell T, Martinez-Perez S, Hurley, et al. (2022) Effects of Polyester Fibers and Car Tire Particles on Freshwater Invertebrates. Environ Toxicol Chem. 41(6): 1555-1567. DOI: 10.1002/etc.5337.

Sheng D, Ding Y, Cheng X, et al. (2019) Polyethylene microplastics affect the distribution of gut microbiota and inflammation development in mice. Chemosphere 244: 125492. DOI: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.125492.

Singh Z (2022) Microplastics are everywhere. Environ Sci Arch 1(1):1-3. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.7133067

Xiao W, Yin J, Pan Y, et al. (2022) Microplastics can affect the trophic cascade strength and stability of plankton ecosystems via behavior-mediated indirect interactions. Journal of Hazardous Materials 430: 128415. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2022.128415.

Author Contributions

ZS conceived the concept. SK, HG and ZS wrote and approved the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Funding

There is no funding source for the present study.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Competing interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

Ethics approval

Not applicable.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution, and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third-party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. Visit for more details <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>.

Citation: Kaur S, Gupta H and Singh Z (2023) *Daphnia magna* as a model animal for assessing microplastic toxicity. Environ Sci Arch 2(1): 28-33.

